# Predictive Biomarker Discovery in Schizophrenia Using Advanced Machine Learning to Decode Heterogeneity: Analysis of the CATIE Schizophrenia Trial

Joseph Geraci<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, Bessi Qorri<sup>1</sup>, Mike Tsay<sup>1</sup>, Christian Cumbaa<sup>1</sup>, Paul Leonchyk<sup>1</sup>, Larry Alphs<sup>1</sup>, and Luca Pani<sup>5,6</sup>

# INTRODUCTION

### CHALLENGE OF HETEROGENEITY IN SCHIZOPHRENIA CLINICAL TRIALS

Schizophrenia is a complex psychiatric disorder with diverse etiologies and manifestations, making treatment response highly variable among patients, and complicating the identification of predictive biomarkers for effective treatments. Traditional machine learning (ML) approach struggle with the complexity of capturing nuanced non-linear interactions within heterogene and small datasets typical of psychiatric research.

Leverage a novel AI algorithm to identify subsets of patients characterized by specific variable influencing treatment response in the CATIE schizophrenia trial.

### **METHODOLOGICAL ISSUE BEING ADDRESSED**

Deconstructing the heterogeneous patient population in CATIE schizophrenia trial to uncover biomarkers that predict treatment response to guide precision medicine in schizophrenia.

## METHODS

### DATASET

CATIE schizophrenia trial (n=1600) testing several antipsychotics with respect to tolerability and efficacy. We utilized the perphenazine and olanzapine arms to build response models.

**Primary Outcome:** Time to all-cause treatment failure, indicated by discontinuation and medication change.

Data Types: Symptom Severity (PANSS, CGI, CDRS), Functional Outcome Measures (SF-12, QLS), Side Effects & AEs (AIMS, SAEPS, BAS, metabolic effects), Neurocognitive Assessments, Labs

### MACHINE LEARNING APPROACH

A novel ML algorithm was used to analyze the clinical and functional assessment data. This approach uses **Sub-Insight Learning**, which deconstructs patient populations into explainable and unexplainable subpopulations.

- Analysis uses early variables (screening or baseline) to predict treatment response.
- By focusing on the explainable subpopulations, it identifies subpopulations characterized by 2-4 variables and their ranges, that can explain treatment response.

This approach is effective for heterogeneous and complex psychiatric data, as it discovers highdimensional similarities among patients concerning specific clinical questions without overfitting. Patients were categorized as perphenazine completed or olanzapine failed (PCOF) and perphenazine failed or olanzapine completed (PFOC).



Goal: Identify variables that simultaneously characterize the "Good" patients that would result in favourable outcomes regardless of randomization.

DISCLOSURES Our advanced ML approach using Sub-Insight Learning effectively identified meaningful subpopulations within the CATIE schizophrenia trial. By focusing on subsets of variables that J.G is the founder of NetraMark and is a significant shareholder of NetraMark Holdings, which is a publicly traded company. B.Q., L.P., M.T, C.C., P.L., and L.A. are explain treatment response in explainable subpopulations, we overcome the limitations of traditional ML methods in handling heterogeneous psychiatric data. This class of methods are employed by NetraMark. L.P.'s disclosures: AbbVie, USA; Acadia, USA; Alexion, Italy; BCG, Switzerland; Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH, Germany; Compass Pathways, UK; EDRA-LSWR Publishing Company, Italy; Ferrer, Spain; Gedeon-Richter, Hungary; GLG-Institute, USA; Immunogen, USA; Inpeco SA, able to find high effect size subpopulations that lead to more robust models that replicate. This approach allows for the development of comprehensive patient profiles corresponding to Switzerland; Ipsen-Abireo, France; Johnson & Johnson USA; NeuroCog Trials, USA; Novartis-Gene Therapies, Switzerland; Sanofi-Aventis-Genzyme, France and USA; NetraMark, Canada\*; Otsuka, USA; Pfizer Global, USA; PharmaMar, Spain; Relmada Therapeutics, USA\*; Takeda, USA; Vifor, Switzerland; WCG schizophrenia clinical trials, offering a granular understanding of treatment effects. Using hold-out validation testing, we can replicate subpopulations characterized by 3 variables that VeraSci/Clinical Endpoint Solutions, USA (\*options/shares). REFERENCES correspond to preferential treatment response to olanzapine. This study underscores the potential of innovative ML techniques in advancing clinical trial enrichment strategies in NETRAMARK Tsay, Mi., Geraci, J. & Agrawal, A. Next-Gen Al for Disease Definition, Patient Stratification, and Placebo psychiatry, paving the way for more successful trials with fewer failures, and a greater separation between arms. Effect. doi:10.31219/OSF.IO/PC7AK

## RESULTS

### Characterizing Subpopulations of Preferential Response to Olanzapine and Perphenazine

on hes ous		Olanzapine Preferential Response Subpopulation: n=220 (100 Perphenazin 120 Olanzapine) characterized by 3 variables (Cohen's D=0.577, p=0.0031):	e,	<b>Р</b> С
		<ul> <li><u>PANSS Total Score</u> between 69-132 (Range: 32-132)</li> </ul>		•
		<ul> <li><u>Clinical Global Impressions - Severity</u> between 4-7 (Range 1-7)</li> </ul>		•
		<ul> <li><u>PANSS Mannerisms and Posturing</u> between 1-2 (Range 1-7)</li> </ul>		•
		These variables suggest that patients with moderate to severe overall symptom burden with mild behavioral disturbances despite their illness		T n
		severity have a higher likelihood of responding to olanzapine.		р
	Using Hold-Out Validation to Identify Che			
		Dataset (n=597) Olanzapine Preferential	Resp	)01
		• Training Set (n=179):	Subp	or



the Testing Set.

- (Cohen's D=0.882, p=0.0401):

  - PANSS Total Score between 69-132 (Range 33-132)



### **Perphenazine Preferential Response**

- D=0.073, p=0.0478):

## **CONCLUSIONS AND SIGNIFICANCE**

Perphenazine Preferential Response: n=60 (20 Perphenazine, 40 Olanzapine) haracterized by 3 variables (Cohen's D=0.948, p=0.037):

- PANSS Hallucinatory Behavior between 1-3 (Range 1-7)
- PANSS Suspiciousness/Persecution between 3-4 (Range 1-6)
- PANSS Marder Factor Negative Symptoms between 16-21 (Range 7-40)

hese variables suggest that patients with moderate negative symptoms with nild to moderate hallucinations and delusions are more likely to respond to erphenazine.

### ristics of Preferential Olanzapine Response

### nse

pulation n=57 (25 Perphenazine, 32 Olanzapine) characterized by 3 variables

• Vitals – Heart Rate (HR) between 71-120 (Range 55-120)

• Vitals – Blood Pressure (Sitting 3 mins) mmHg (Systolic) between 92-131 (Range 92-190)

Validated in Testing Set (n=415): n=136 (93 Olanzapine, 43 Perphenazine); Cohen's D=0.514, p=0.0167.

This subpopulation, generally confined to a narrower HR/BP range, appeared more resilient and showed significantly better adherence to Olanzapine. No severe events were observed in this subpopulation.

**Training Set (n=179):** n=31 (16 Perphenazine, 15 Olanzapine) characterized by 3 variables (Cohen's

• <u>Number of past medical history events</u>  $\geq$  9 (fewer ongoing medical events) (Range 5-9)

PANSS Marder Factor: Cognitive Disorganized Thought between 5-12 (Range 5-26)

• PANSS Unusual Thought Content Score between 1-2 (Range 1-7)

Identified and replicated in the Training Set, this subpopulation profile failed to replicate in the Testing Set.





